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## Simple Linear Regression Analysis: What about the inference?
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## The state of the art:

-We are studying the linear relationship between unit-price ( x ) and number of total cakes sold per week (y).
-The goodness of $\mathrm{fit}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ is 0.4588 : thus the $45.88 \%$ of total variance in y is explained by our model (on the other side, the $54.12 \%$ of that variance is still unexplained!)

- We graphically tested the 4 main linear regression conditions (plot: error terms and its relationship with the explanatory variable)

Now, our final aim is to understand whether this relationship between x and y does exist within the population as a whole

```
SOLD_CAKES = 125.616+UNIT_PRICES*48.809
```

We start from sample and we're trying to estimate true population parameters
Because we analyze a sample (and not the entire population) we need to make inference based on our sample.

We know that $b_{2}$ is unlikely to be exactly equals $ß_{2}$,

But, HOW CONFIDENT CAN WE BE THAT THERE IS AT LEAST A POSITIVE LINEAR RELATIONSHIP within the population BETWEEN UNIT-PRICE ( x ) AND TOTAL SOLDCAKES (y)?

## how Confident can we be that there is at least A NONZERO LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITHIN THE POPULATION?

We may proceed in two different ways:

1) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis using t-statistic and T-student
2) Comparing the significance level ( $\alpha$ ) and the $p$-value of the coefficient
a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis :
the $t$-test for a population slope

Central question:
Is there a linear relationship between unit_price $(X)$ and the number of cakes sold in a week $(\mathrm{Y})$ in the general population?

Null and alternative hypotheses:
$H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$ (no linear relationship)
$H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$ (linear relationship does exist)
a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis : the $t$-test for a population slope

a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis : the t-test for a population slope

```
> summary(reg_lin)
Call:
lm(formula = y ~ x)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
-76.16 & -59.47 & 20.78 & 41.07 & 78.60
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
```


a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis : the t-test for a population slope

## NOW WE NEED TO COMPUTE THE $\mathrm{t} \alpha / 2$ value:

i) The significance level ( $\alpha$ ) is defined a priori (considering the value of our research) : let's imagine we want a confidence level of $95 \%$, so our significance level is $(1-95=0.05) \rightarrow \alpha=0.05 \rightarrow$
$\alpha / 2=0.05 / 2=0.025$
ii) The degree of freedom (d.f.) for linear regression is n -2: thus in our case d.f. $=34-2=32$
iii) Using those information, let's check on a T-student table to discover the $t_{a / 2}$ value: 2.037
a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis : the t-test for a population slope

| Tavola della distribuzione T di Student |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Gradi di liberta | Area nella coda di destra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.0025 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| 1 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.706 | 15.894 | 31.821 | 63.656 | 127.321 | 318.289 | 636.578 |
| 2 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 4.849 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 14.089 | 22.328 | 31.600 |
| 3 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 3.482 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 7.453 | 10.214 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 2.999 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 5.598 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 2.757 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 4.773 | 5.894 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 2.612 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 4.317 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.517 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.029 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| 8 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.449 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 3.833 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| 9 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.398 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 3.690 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
| 10 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.359 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 3.581 | 4.144 | 4.587 |
| 11 | 1.363 | 1.796 | 2.201 | 2.328 | 2.718 | 3.106 | 3.497 | 4.025 | 4.437 |
| 12 | 1.356 | 1.782 | 2.179 | 2.303 | 2.681 | 3.055 | 3.428 | 3.930 | 4.318 |
| 13 | 1.350 | 1.771 | 2.160 | 2.282 | 2.650 | 3.012 | 3.372 | 3.852 | 4.221 |
| 14 | 1.345 | 1.761 | 2.145 | 2.264 | 2.624 | 2.977 | 3.326 | 3.787 | 4.140 |
| 15 | 1.341 | 1.753 | 2.131 | 2.249 | 2.602 | 2.947 | 3.286 | 3.733 | 4.073 |
| 16 | 1.337 | 1.746 | 2.120 | 2.235 | 2.583 | 2.921 | 3.252 | 3.686 | 4.015 |
| 17 | 1.333 | 1.740 | 2.110 | 2.224 | 2.567 | 2.898 | 3.222 | 3.646 | 3.965 |
| 18 | 1.330 | 1.734 | 2.101 | 2.214 | 2.552 | 2.878 | 3.197 | 3.610 | 3.922 |
| 19 | 1.328 | 1.729 | 2.093 | 2.205 | 2.539 | 2.861 | 3.174 | 3.579 | 3.883 |
| 20 | 1.325 | 1.725 | 2.086 | 2.197 | 2.528 | 2.845 | 3.153 | 3.552 | 3.850 |
| 21 | 1.323 | 1.721 | 2.080 | 2.189 | 2.518 | 2.831 | 3.135 | 3.527 | 3.819 |
| 22 | 1.321 | 1.717 | 2.074 | 2.183 | 2.508 | 2.819 | 3.119 | 3.505 | 3.792 |
| 23 | 1.319 | 1.714 | 2.069 | 2.177 | 2.500 | 2.807 | 3.104 | 3.485 | 3.768 |
| 24 | 1.318 | 1.711 | 2.064 | 2.172 | 2.492 | 2.797 | 3.091 | 3.467 | 3.745 |
| 25 | 1.316 | 1.708 | 2.060 | 2.167 | 2.485 | 2.787 | 3.078 | 3.450 | 3.725 |
| 26 | 1.315 | 1.706 | 2.056 | 2.162 | 2.479 | 2.779 | 3.067 | 3.435 | 3.707 |
| 27 | 1.314 | 1.703 | 2.052 | 2.158 | 2.473 | 2.771 | 3.057 | 3.421 | 3.689 |
| 28 | 1.313 | 1.701 | 2.048 | 2.154 | 2.467 | 2.763 | 3.047 | 3.408 | 3.674 |
| 29 | 1.311 | 1.699 | 2.045 | 2.150 | 2.462 | 2.756 | 3.038 | 3.396 | 3.660 |
| 30 | 1.310 | 1.697 | 2.042 | 2.147 | 2.457 | 2.750 | 3.030 | 3.385 | 3.646 |
| 31 | 1.309 | 1.696 | 2.040 | 2.144 | 2.453 | 2.744 | 3.022 | 3.375 | 3.633 |
| 32 | 1.309 | 1.694 | (2.037) | 2.141 | 2.449 | 2.738 | 3.015 | 3.365 | 3.622 |
| 33 | 1.308 | 1.692 | 2.035 | 2.138 | 2.445 | 2.733 | 3.008 | 3.356 | 3.611 |

a) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis : the t-test for a population slope

Last step: the comparison of computed values
T-stat $=5.2088$
t $\alpha / 2=2.037$
T-stat $>\mathrm{t} \alpha / 2 \rightarrow$ the statistic falls within the rejection area!


We reject the null hypothesis ( Ho ) , thus:
there is sufficient evidence that (at a 95\% of confidence level) within the population the unit-price affects the number of cakes sold in a week

We may proceed in two different ways:

1) Testing the null/alternative hypothesis
2) Comparing the significance level ( $\alpha$ ) and the p-value of the coefficient
2)Comparing the significance level ( $\alpha$ ) and the $p$-value of the coefficient

## Central question:

Is there a linear relationship between unit_price $(X)$ and the number of cakes sold in a week $(\mathrm{Y})$ in the general population?

## Compare:

-The level of significance ( $\alpha$ )
-The $p$ (value) of the slope coefficient

Decision rule:
If the $p$-value $<\alpha \rightarrow$ we reject H 0
2)Comparing the significance level ( $\alpha$ ) and the $p$-value of the coefficient let's imagine we want a confidence level of $95 \%$, so our level of significance is (1-95 = 0.05) $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\alpha}=\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$

```
> summary(reg_lin)
```

Call:
$\operatorname{lm}$ (formula $=y \sim x$ )
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { Residuals: } & & & \\ \text { Min } & 1 Q & \text { Median } & 3 Q & \text { Max } \\ -76.16 & -59.47 & 20.78 & 41.07 & 78.60\end{array}$
Coefficients:

---
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ソ
Residual standard error: 53.62 on 32 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4588 , Adjusted R-squared: 0.441 S
F-statistic: 27.13 on 1 and 32 DF , p-value: $1.084 \mathrm{e}-05$

The $p$-value is $P(t \neq 5.208) \cong 0$
We compare the $p$-value to our level of significance:
0.05 ( $\alpha$ ) >0 0 (p-value) $\rightarrow$
there is sufficient evidence that (at a 95\% of confidence level) within the population the unit-price affects the number of cakes sold in a week

