

University of Ferrara



#### Statistics for Economics and Business Stefano Bonnini & Valentina Mini

# Cluster Analysis: hierarchical methods

Lecture 10 – 22<sup>th</sup> of March 2019

- Hierarchical methods provide a family of partitions of the statistical units with a number **g** of groups which varies from **n to 1**:
  - Trivial starting partition: *g***=n** groups of 1 unit
  - Intermediate partitions: 1 < g < n</p>
  - Final partition: *g***=1** group of *n* units

Example: wine survey on Passito

 $\circ$ Trivial starting partition:g= 386 (each customer is one group) $\circ$ Intermediate partitions:number of groups varies from 385 to 2 $\circ$ Final partition:g=1 (all 386 customers represent one group)

#### Methods which use the $n \times n$ matrix of distances (or of proximities) D:

- 1. The two nearest units (with minimum distance or maximum proximity) are grouped
- A new (n-1)×(n-1) D matrix is computed, which represents the distances (or proximities) between the n-1 clusters obtained in the previous step (n-2 clusters with 1 unit and 1 cluster with 2 units)
- 3. In the new D matrix the minimum distance (or maximum proximity) is detected and the two corresponding clusters are grouped
- 4. Previous steps are repeated, according to an iterated procedure, where at step t we have g=n-t+1 groups and a  $(n-t+1)\times(n-t+1)$  D matrix, and the two nearest clusters are grouped, with t=1,...,n
- 5. At the end of the procedure (*t*=*n*) we have 1 group with all the *n* units



After the first step we cluster A and B together and we treat it as a single entity. Now we re-compute the distance matrix among pairs of our **4 elements (AB, C, D, E )** 



After the second step we cluster D and E together and we treat it as a single entity. Now we re-compute the distance matrix among pairs of our **3 elements (AB, C, DE) And so on** 

. . . .

Criteria for computing the distance between two clusters (groups):

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two clusters with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  units respectively

- Single linkage or nearest neighbour method:  $d(C_1, C_2) = min(d_{iu}) i \in C_1, u \in C_2$
- Complete linkage or farthest neighbour method:  $d(C_1, C_2) = max(d_{iu}) i \in C_1, u \in C_2$
- Average linkage between groups method or UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method Using arithmetic Averages):  $d(C_1, C_2) = \sum_{i,u} d_{iu} / (n_1 n_2), i \in C_1, u \in C_2$
- Average linkage within groups method (arithmetic average of the distances between all the  $m=n_1+n_2$  units of the two clusters joined together):

$$d(C_1, C_2) = \sum_{i>u} d_{iu} / [m(m-1)/2], i, u \in C_1 \cup C_2$$



#### Average linkages:





Remarks:

- With the nearest neighbour method (SINGLE LINKAGE) we can have the **«chain effect»**:
  - two far units can be joined into the same cluster in the presence of a sequence of intermediate points
- With the farthest neighbour method (COMPLETE LINKAGE) we can have compact groups but with an approximately hyperspherical shape
- Average linkage method **can be a good compromise** to have internal cohesion and external separation between the groups

Hierarchical methods which also use the original matrix of observed data:

• Centroid method:

$$d(C_{1,i},C_2)=d(\overline{x}_{1,i},\overline{x}_2)$$

the distance between two clusters is equal to the distance between the two *k*-dimensional vectors of means computed on the  $n_1$  units of  $C_1$  and the  $n_2$  units of  $C_2$ 

Hierarchical methods which also use the original matrix of observed data:

Ward method or least deviance method. ٠

Uses the breakdown of the total deviance:

$$TD = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)^2$$
  

$$WD = \sum_{l=1}^{g} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{j,l})^2 \right] = \sum_{l=1}^{g} DW_l$$
  

$$BD = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{g} n_l (\bar{x}_{j,l} - \bar{x}_j)^2$$
  

$$TD = WD + BD$$

 $\bar{x}_i$ : sample mean of *j*-th variable  $\bar{x}_{i,l}$ : sample mean of *j*-th variable in cluster *l* 

At each step of the procedure, the aggregation which causes the least increasing of DW is chosen

#### Criteria for evaluating the partitioning:

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two clusters with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  units respectively

• Given a partition of the units in *g* groups, the proportion of global variability explained by this partition is:

 $R^2 = 1 - WD / TD = BD / TD$ 

This index takes values between 0 and 1 and the smaller the number g (of groups) the smaller the index value

#### Dendogram



#### The state of the art

CA: aim = identify the lower number of clusters such that

The units belonging the same cluster The units belonging different clusters are more similar than  $\dots \rightarrow$ High within-cluster similarity ow between-cluster similarity ow within-cluster variance <u>High between-cluster variance</u> To identify clusters we should define Distance or similarity Grouping's rule Hierarchical methods Non Hier. methods Similarity: **Distance:** 1. case of Divisive: dichotomous var. **Agglomerative:** -Euclidean 2. case of categorical var. -Edwards & -Manhattan -Single linkage Cavalli Sforza -Complete linkage (trace of the \*Ind. of co-presences -Minkosky -Average linkage (Russel&Rao; Jaccart) deviance matrix) -Chebichev -Friedman & Rubin \*Ind. Co-presences Centroide method (min. the deviance and co-absences Ward method matrix determinant) (Sokal & Michener)